

GENERIC INITIAL IDEALS OF ARTINIAN IDEALS HAVING LEFSCHETZ PROPERTIES OR THE STRONG STANLEY PROPERTY

JEA MAN AHN[†], YOUNG HYUN CHO[‡], AND JUNG PIL PARK^{††}

ABSTRACT. For a standard Artinian k -algebra $A = R/I$, we give equivalent conditions for A to have the weak (or strong) Lefschetz property or the strong Stanley property in terms of the minimal system of generators of the generic initial ideal $\text{gin}(I)$ of I under the reverse lexicographic order. Using the equivalent condition for the weak Lefschetz property, we show that some graded Betti numbers of $\text{gin}(I)$ are determined just by the Hilbert function of I if A has the weak Lefschetz property. Furthermore, for the case that A is a standard Artinian k -algebra of codimension 3, we show that every graded Betti numbers of $\text{gin}(I)$ are determined by the graded Betti numbers of I if A has the weak Lefschetz property. And if A has the strong Lefschetz (resp. Stanley) property, then we show that the minimal system of generators of $\text{gin}(I)$ is determined by the graded Betti numbers (resp. by the Hilbert function) of I .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let I be a homogeneous ideal of the polynomial ring $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ over a field k . Throughout this paper, we use only a field k of characteristic 0. After Fröberg [7] introduced the conjecture about the Hilbert series of generic algebras, it is one of the remarkable problems under which condition $A = R/I$ has the weak (or strong) Lefschetz property, which will be explicitly defined in Definition 2.2. Recently, many authors achieved some results on this problem. Watanabe [15] showed that "most" Artinian Gorenstein rings with fixed socle degree have the strong Lefschetz property (Cf. [14]). In particular, it was proved by Watanabe that any generic Artinian Gorenstein k -algebra has the strong Lefschetz property. And Harima, Migliore, Nagel and Watanabe [10] showed that A has the strong Lefschetz property if I is any complete intersection ideal of codimension 2, and has the weak Lefschetz property if I is any complete intersection ideal of codimension 3. But it remains as an open problem whether any complete intersection Artinian k -algebra A has the weak Lefschetz property or not in higher codimension case.

In this paper, we study Lefschetz properties and the strong Stanley property in the view point of generic initial ideals. We begin Section 2 with definitions of the weak (or strong) Lefschetz property and the strong Stanley property of $A = R/I$. Then we introduce some results of Wiebe who firstly investigated the Lefschetz properties in the viewpoint of generic initial ideal. Wiebe [16] proved that $A = R/I$ has the weak (resp. strong) Lefschetz property if and only if $R/\text{gin}(I)$

Date: February 2, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 13A02, 13C05, 13D40, 13E10, 13P10.

The second author is partially supported by BK21, and the third author is supported by National Institute for Mathematical Sciences.

has the weak (resp. strong) Lefschetz property, where $\text{gin}(I)$ is the generic initial ideal of I with respect to the reverse lexicographic order (see Proposition 2.7). And he (or she) gave an equivalent condition for $A = R/I$ to have the weak Lefschetz property in terms of the graded Betti numbers of $\text{gin}(I)$ (see Proposition 2.8). We will give another equivalent conditions and compute the graded Betti numbers of $\text{gin}(I)$ more precisely. To do so, we introduce the first reduction number and the minimal system of generators of $\text{gin}(I)$ in section 2.

In section 3, we give equivalent conditions for A to have the weak Lefschetz property (Proposition 3.4), the strong Lefschetz property (Theorem 3.7), and the strong Stanley property (Theorem 3.10), respectively, in terms of the minimal system of generators of $\text{gin}(I)$. And, as a result of Proposition 3.4, we show that some graded Betti numbers of $\text{gin}(I)$ can be computed just from the Hilbert function of I (Corollary 3.5).

If we restrict ourselves to the case $R = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$, then we can obtain more information on the minimal system of generators of $\text{gin}(I)$ from the Hilbert function or the graded Betti numbers of R/I . In section 4, under this restriction for R , we show that if R/I has the weak Lefschetz property, then the minimal generator T 's of $\text{gin}(I)$ with $\max(T) \leq 2$ are uniquely determined by the graded Betti numbers of R/I (Proposition 4.5). Together with Corollary 3.5, this implies that every graded Betti numbers of $\text{gin}(I)$ can be computed from the graded Betti numbers of I . And if R/I has the strong Lefschetz property, then we show that the minimal system of generators of $\text{gin}(I)$ is uniquely determined by the graded Betti numbers of R/I (Proposition 4.6). At last we show that if R/I has the strong Stanley property, then the minimal system of generators of $\text{gin}(I)$ is uniquely determined by the Hilbert function of R/I (Proposition 4.8).

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $R = k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring over a field k . For a homogeneous ideal I and a linear form L in R , we have the multiplication map

$$(2.1) \quad \times L^i : (R/I)_d \rightarrow (R/I)_{d+i},$$

for each $i \geq 1$, $d \geq 0$.

Definition 2.1. *A standard graded Artinian k -algebra $A = R/I$ is said to have the weak (resp. strong) Lefschetz property if there exists a linear form L , called a weak (resp. strong) Lefschetz element, such that the multiplication in (2.1) has maximal rank for each d and $i = 1$ (resp. for each $i \geq 1$).*

Consider the exact sequence induced by $\times L^i$

$$0 \rightarrow ((I : L^i)/I)_d \rightarrow (R/I)_d \xrightarrow{\times L^i} (R/I)_{d+i} \rightarrow (R/(I + L^i))_{d+i} \rightarrow 0.$$

If the multiplication $\times L^i$ has maximal rank, then either $((I : L^i)/I)_d$ or $(R/(I + L^i))_{d+i}$ must be 0 for each d .

There is more stronger property that a standard Artinian k -algebra may have. That is the strong Stanley property named by Watanabe in the paper [15], though it was originally called as the hard Lefschetz property.

Definition 2.2. A standard graded Artinian k -algebra $A = R/I = \bigoplus_{i=0}^t A_i$, where $t = \max\{i \mid A_i \neq 0\}$, is said to have the strong Stanley property if there exists a linear form $L \in R$ such that the multiplication map $\times L^{t-2i} : A_i \rightarrow A_{t-i}$ is bijective for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, [t/2]$. In this case the element L is called a strong Stanley element.

From the following exact sequence

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow ((I : L^{t-2i})/I)_i \rightarrow (R/I)_i \xrightarrow{\times L^{t-2i}} (R/I)_{t-i} \rightarrow (R/(I + L^{t-2i}))_{t-i} \rightarrow 0,$$

we can see that $A = R/I$ has the strong Stanley property if and only if $(I : L^{t-2i})_i = I_i$ and $R_{t-i} = (I + L^{t-2i})_{t-i}$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, [t/2]$.

Remark 2.3. A standard Artinian k -algebra $A = R/I$ has the strong Stanley property if and only if A has the strong Lefschetz property and the Hilbert function of A is symmetric.

In this paper, we will investigate those properties in the viewpoint of the generic initial ideal of I with respect to the reverse lexicographic order. The notion of a generic initial ideal originates with the following theorem of Galligo in [8].

Theorem 2.4. [8] For any multiplicative monomial order τ and any homogeneous ideal $I \subset R$, there is a Zariski open subset $U \subset GL_n(k)$ such that initial ideals $\text{in}_\tau(gI)$ are constant over all $g \in U$. We define the generic initial ideal of I , denoted by $\text{gin}_\tau(I)$, to be

$$\text{gin}_\tau(I) := \text{in}_\tau(gI)$$

for $g \in U$.

The most important property of generic initial ideals is that they are *Borel-fixed*. In characteristic 0 case, note that a monomial ideal I is Borel-fixed if and only if I is *strongly stable*: i.e., if T is a monomial,

$$x_i T \in I \Rightarrow x_j T \in I, \quad \forall j \leq i.$$

For a monomial ideal I , we denote by $\mathcal{G}(I)$ the minimal system of generators of I , and by $\mathcal{G}(I)_d$ the set of minimal generators of I of degree d . For a monomial T of R , we set

$$\max(T) = \max\{i \mid x_i \text{ divides } T\}.$$

Eliahou-Kervaire Theorem gives us an easy way to compute the graded Betti numbers of a stable monomial ideal I of R .

Theorem 2.5 (Eliahou-Kervaire). [6] Let I be a stable monomial ideal of R . Then the graded Betti number $\beta_{q,i}(I) = \dim_k \text{Tor}_q^R(I, k)_i$ of I is given by

$$\beta_{q,i}(I) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{G}(I)_{i-q}} \binom{\max(T) - 1}{q},$$

for each integers q and i .

Wiebe studied the Lefschetz properties in the view point of generic initial ideals, and obtained the following results.

Lemma 2.6. [16] If R/I is an Artinian ring such that I is Borel-fixed, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R/I has the weak (resp. strong) Lefschetz property.

(2) x_n is a weak (resp. strong) Lefschetz element on R/I .

Proposition 2.7. [16] *Let R/I be a standard Artinian k -algebra, and $\text{gin}(I)$ the generic initial ideal of I with respect to the reverse lexicographic order. Then R/I has the weak (resp. strong) Lefschetz property if and only if $R/\text{gin}(I)$ has the weak (resp. strong) Lefschetz property.*

Consider the following exact sequence

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow ((\text{gin}(I) : x_n^i)/\text{gin}(I))_d \rightarrow (R/\text{gin}(I))_d \xrightarrow{\times x_n^i} (R/\text{gin}(I))_{d+i} \rightarrow (R/(\text{gin}(I) + x_n^i))_{d+i} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then we can see that R/I has the weak (resp. strong) Lefschetz property if and only if either $(\text{gin}(I) : x_n^i)_d = \text{gin}(I)_d$ or $R_{d+i} = (\text{gin}(I) + x_n^i)_{d+i}$ for each d and $i = 1$ (resp. for any $i \geq 1$).

The following proposition is an equivalent condition for R/I to have the weak Lefschetz property in terms of the graded Betti numbers of $\text{gin}(I)$.

Proposition 2.8. [16] *Let R/I be a standard Artinian k -algebra, and $\text{gin}(I)$ the generic initial ideal of I with respect to the reverse lexicographic order. If d is the minimum of all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\beta_{n-1, n-1+j}(\text{gin}(I)) > 0$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) R/I has the weak Lefschetz property.
- (2) $\beta_{n-1, n-1+j}(\text{gin}(I)) = \beta_{0,j}(\text{gin}(I))$ for all $j > d$.
- (3) $\beta_{i, i+j}(\text{gin}(I)) = \binom{n-1}{i} \beta_{0,j}(\text{gin}(I))$ for all $j > d$ and all i .

In Proposition 3.4, we will give another equivalent condition for R/I to have the weak Lefschetz property. And we give a tool to compute the graded Betti numbers of $\text{gin}(I)$ from the Hilbert function of R/I , if R/I has the weak Lefschetz property, in Corollary 3.5. For these, we need the notion of reduction numbers.

Definition 2.9. *If I is a homogeneous ideal of R with $\dim R/I = s$, then we define the i -th reduction number of R/I to be $r_i(R/I) = \min\{t \mid \dim_k(R/(I + J_i))_{t+1} = 0\}$ for $i \geq s$, where J_i is an ideal generated by i general linear forms in R .*

The following theorem implies that reduction numbers of R/I and $R/\text{gin}(I)$ are the same, if we use the reverse lexicographic order.

Theorem 2.10. [11] *For a homogeneous ideal I of R , if $\text{gin}(I)$ is a generic initial ideal of I with respect to the reverse lexicographic order, then*

$$r_i(R/I) = r_i(R/\text{gin}(I)) = \min\{t \mid x_{n-i}^{t+1} \in \text{gin}(I)\}.$$

In what follows, we will use only the reverse lexicographic order as a multiplicative monomial order. Suppose that I is a homogeneous ideal of R such that R/I is a Cohen-Macaulay ring with $\dim R/I = n - r$. In the paper [3], $\mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I))$ is completely determined by the positive integer f_1 and functions $f_i : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^i \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ defined as follows:

$$(2.4) \quad f_1 = \min\{t \mid x_1^t \in \text{gin}(I)\} \text{ and, } f_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}) = \min\{t \mid x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{i-1}^{\alpha_{i-1}} x_i^t \in \text{gin}(I)\},$$

for each $2 \leq i \leq r$.

Lemma 2.11. [3] *Let $f_1, \dots, f_r$ be defined as in (2.4). For $2 \leq i \leq r$, suppose that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}$ are integers such that $0 \leq \alpha_1 < f_1$ and $0 \leq \alpha_j < f_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j-1})$ for each $j \leq i-1$. Then we have*

- (1) $0 < f_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}) < \infty$,
- (2) $x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_{i-1}^{\alpha_{i-1}} x_i^{f_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1})} \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I))$, and
- (3) if $1 \leq j \leq i-1$ and $\alpha_j \geq 1$, then

$$f_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}) \leq f_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j - 1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}) - 1.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq r-1$, let

$$(2.5) \quad J_i = \left\{ (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \left| \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq \alpha_1 < f_1, \text{ and for each } 2 \leq j \leq i, \\ 0 \leq \alpha_j < f_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}) \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

and let

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{G} = \{x_1^{f_1}\} \cup \left\{ x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_{i-1}^{\alpha_{i-1}} x_i^{f_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1})} \left| \begin{array}{l} (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}) \in J_{i-1}, \\ \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq r \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Proposition 2.12. [3] *Let $f_1, \dots, f_r$ be defined as in (2.4). Then the minimal system of generators of $\text{gin}(I)$ is $\mathcal{G}$ in (2.6).*

Remark 2.13. (1) Let $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ and $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^i$. Then $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$ belongs to J_i if and only if $x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_i^{\alpha_i} x_{i+1}^{f_{i+1}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)}$ is an element of $\mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I))$ and $0 < f_{i+1}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) < \infty$ by Lemma 2.11 (1).
 (2) If $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \in J_i$, then the element $(0, \dots, 0, |\alpha|) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^i$ belongs to J_i , where $|\alpha| := \sum_{j=1}^i \alpha_j$. In particular, $|\alpha| \leq f_i(0, \dots, 0) - 1$.

Proof. By the definition of J_i , it is enough to show that $|\alpha| < f_i(0, \dots, 0)$. If $|\alpha| = 0$, then the assertion follows, hence we may assume that $|\alpha| \geq 1$. Suppose to the contrary that $|\alpha| \geq f_i(0, \dots, 0)$. Then $x_i^{|\alpha|} \in \text{gin}(I)$. Since $\text{gin}(I)$ is strongly stable, $x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_i^{\alpha_i} \in \text{gin}(I)$. This contradicts to (1). $\square$

- (3) Let $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s, \dots, \alpha_t, \dots, \alpha_i) \in J_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. If $\alpha_s \geq 1$, then $f_{i+1}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s, \dots, \alpha_t, \dots, \alpha_i) \leq f_{i+1}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s - 1, \dots, \alpha_t + 1, \dots, \alpha_i)$, since $\text{gin}(I)$ is strongly stable.

Remark 2.14. Note that the set J_{r-1} determines the minimal generator T 's of $\text{gin}(I)$ satisfying $\max(T) \leq r-1$. Indeed, if $i \leq r-1$ and $x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_{i-1}^{\alpha_{i-1}} x_i^{\alpha_i} \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I))$ with $\alpha_i \geq 1$, then $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i - 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{r-1}$ belongs to J_{r-1} . Hence if we set $g_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}) := \max\{\beta \mid (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, \beta, 0, \dots, 0) \in J_{r-1}\} + 1$, then $g_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}) = \alpha_i$. This shows that the assertion follows.

The following example will help to understand above remarks.

Example 2.15. Suppose that an homogeneous ideal I of $R = k[x, y, z, w]$ has the following the generic initial ideal:

$$\text{gin}(I) = (x^2, xy, y^3, y^2z, xz^2, yz^2, z^3, xzw^2, y^2w^3, yzw^3, z^2w^3, xw^5, yw^5, zw^5, w^7).$$

Since $f_1 = 2$, we have $J_1 = \{0, 1\}$. And $f_2(0) = 3$, $f_2(1) = 1$ imply that $J_2 = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 2), (1, 0)\}$. And note that

$$J_3 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 2), (0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1), (0, 2, 0), (1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1)\},$$

since we have $f_3(0, 0) = 3$, $f_3(0, 1) = 2$, $f_3(0, 2) = 1$, $f_3(1, 0) = 2$.

3. EQUIVALENT CONDITIONS

Throughout this section we assume that $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^t A_i = R/I$ is a standard Artinian algebra over a field k , where I is a homogeneous ideal of $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $t = \max\{i \mid A_i \neq 0\}$.

In Proposition 2.8, an equivalent condition for A to have the weak Lefschetz property was given in terms of the graded Betti numbers of $\text{gin}(I)$. In this section, we give equivalent conditions for A to have the weak Lefschetz property (Proposition 3.4), the strong Lefschetz property (Theorem 3.7), and the strong Stanley property (Theorem 3.10), respectively, in terms of the minimal system of generators of $\text{gin}(I)$. Furthermore, as a result of Proposition 3.4, we show that graded Betti numbers of $\text{gin}(I)$ can be computed just from the Hilbert function of I (See Corollary 3.5).

To see the relation between the Hilbert function of I and the minimal generator T 's of $\text{gin}(I)$ with $\max(T) = n$, consider the following lemma and proposition. Although these are introduced in the paper [1], we give full proofs of them because we modified a little.

Lemma 3.1. *If T is a nonzero monomial in $((\text{gin}(I) : x_n^i)/(\text{gin}(I) : x_n^{i-1}))_d$ for $d \geq 0$ and $i \geq 1$, then $Tx_n^i \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I))_{d+i}$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that Tx_n^i is not a minimal generator of $\text{gin}(I)$. Then $Tx_n^i = uM$ for some monomials $M \in \text{gin}(I)$, $u \in R$ with $\deg(u) \geq 1$. Since $T \notin (\text{gin}(I) : x_n^{i-1})$, x_n cannot divide u , i.e. $\max(u) < n$, and so x_n divides M . But since $\text{gin}(I)$ is strongly stable, this implies that

$$Tx_n^{i-1} = u \frac{M}{x_n} \in \text{gin}(I),$$

which is a contradiction. $\square$

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose that $d > r_1(A)$. If $T_1, \dots, T_t$ are monomials which form a k -basis of $((\text{gin}(I) : x_n)/\text{gin}(I))_{d-1}$, then*

$$\{T_1x_n, \dots, T_tx_n\} = \{T \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I)) \mid \max(T) = n, \deg(T) = d\}.$$

In particular,

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} H(A, d-1) - H(A, d) &= \dim_k((\text{gin}(I) : x_n)/\text{gin}(I))_{d-1} \\ &= \#\{T \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I)) \mid \max(T) = n, \deg(T) = d\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $H(A, d) := \dim_k A_d$ is the Hilbert function of A at degree d . Thus we have $H(A, d-1) \geq H(A, d)$ for any $d > r_1(A)$.

Proof. Note that if $T \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I))$ is a monomial with $\deg(T) = d$ and $\max(T) = n$, then T/x_n is a nonzero monomial in $((\text{gin}(I) : x_n)/\text{gin}(I))_{d-1}$. Hence, it is enough to show that if $T_1, \dots, T_t$ are monomials which form a k -basis of $((\text{gin}(I) : x_n)/\text{gin}(I))_{d-1}$, then $T_ix_n \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I))$ for all i . But this follows from Lemma 3.1.

For the second assertion, note that $d > r_1(A)$ implies $x_{n-1}^d \in \text{gin}(I)$ by the definition of the reduction number $r_1(A)$. Since $\text{gin}(I)$ is strongly stable, this implies that $(R/(\text{gin}(I) + x_n))_d = 0$. From the exact sequence (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_k((\text{gin}(I) : x_n)/\text{gin}(I))_{d-1} &= H(R/\text{gin}(I), d-1) - H(R/\text{gin}(I), d) \\ &= H(A, d-1) - H(A, d). \end{aligned}$$

The second equality in (3.1) follows from the first assertion. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose that $A = R/I$ has the weak Lefschetz property. If $H(A, d-1) \geq H(A, d)$, then $d > r_1(A)$. In particular,*

$$r_1(A) = \min\{d \mid H(A, d-1) \geq H(A, d)\} - 1.$$

Proof. If $d \leq r_1(A)$, then $x_{n-1}^d \notin \text{gin}(I)$. So $R_d \neq (\text{gin}(I) + x_n)_d$. Since $R/\text{gin}(I)$ has the weak Lefschetz property, we have $(\text{gin}(I) : x_n)_{d-1} = (\text{gin}(I))_{d-1}$. By the exact sequence (2.3), this implies that

$$H(A, d-1) = H(A, d) - \dim_k(R/(\text{gin}(I) + x_n))_d < H(A, d),$$

which is a contradiction. The last assertion follows from Proposition 3.2 and the first assertion. $\square$

The following proposition gives us a criterion to check that $A = R/I$ has the weak Lefschetz property, if we know the minimal system of generators or the graded Betti numbers of $\text{gin}(I)$ and the first reduction number $r_1(A)$. Remind J_{n-1} defined in (2.5).

Proposition 3.4. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $A = R/I$ has the weak Lefschetz property.
- (2) If T is a minimal generator of $\text{gin}(I)$ of degree d with $\max(T) = n$, then $d \geq r_1(R/I) + 1$, i.e.

$$|\alpha| + f_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \geq r_1(A) + 1,$$

for any $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in J_{n-1}$, where $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i$.

- (3) $\beta_{n-1, n-1+j}(\text{gin}(I)) = 0$ for all $j \leq r_1(A)$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): If T is a minimal generator of $\text{gin}(I)$ of degree d with $\max(T) = n$, then T/x_n is a nonzero element in $((\text{gin}(I) : x_n)/(\text{gin}(I))_{d-1})$. Since A has the weak Lefschetz property, $(R/(\text{gin}(I) + x_n))_d = 0$. Hence $x_{n-1}^d \in \text{gin}(I)$, this implies that $d \geq r_1(A) + 1$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Suppose that $((\text{gin}(I) : x_n)/\text{gin}(I))_{d-1} \neq 0$. Let T be a monomial in $(\text{gin}(I) : x_n)_{d-1}$ such that $T \notin \text{gin}(I)$. Then we have $Tx_n \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I))_d$, by Lemma 3.1. By assumption, we have $d \geq r_1(A) + 1$. This implies that $x_{n-1}^d \in \text{gin}(I)$, so $(R/(\text{gin}(I) + x_n))_d = 0$.

(2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3): It is clear from Eliahou-Kervaire Theorem. $\square$

Corollary 3.5. *If $A = R/I$ has the weak Lefschetz property, then*

- (1) $\beta_{n-1, n-1+d}(\text{gin}(I)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d \leq r_1(A), \\ H(A, d-1) - H(A, d) & \text{if } d > r_1(A). \end{cases}$
- (2) For any $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $d \geq r_1(A) + 2$,

$$\beta_{i, i+d}(\text{gin}(I)) = \binom{n-1}{i} (H(A, d-1) - H(A, d)).$$

Proof. The assertions follow from Proposition 3.2, Proposition 3.4, and Eliahou-Kervaire Theorem. $\square$

Example 3.6. Consider two strongly stable ideals of $R = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} I &= (x_1^2, x_1x_2, \underline{x_1x_3}, x_2^3, x_2x_3^2, x_3^4), \\ J &= (x_1^2, x_1x_2, \underline{x_2^2}, \underline{x_1x_3^2}, x_2x_3^2, x_3^4). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\deg(x_1x_3) = 2 < 3 = r_1(R/I) + 1$, R/I does not have the weak Lefschetz property, but R/J has.

The following theorem gives an equivalent condition for $A = R/I$ to have the strong Lefschetz property in terms of the minimal system of generators of $\text{gin}(I)$.

Theorem 3.7. *$A = R/I$ has the strong Lefschetz property if and only if for any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in J_{n-1}$,*

- (1) $|\alpha| + f_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \geq r_1(A) + 1$, and
- (2) $f_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \geq f_n(0, \dots, 0, |\alpha| + 1) + 1$,

where $|\alpha| = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j$.

Proof. Suppose that A has the strong Lefschetz property, and $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in J_{n-1}$. The first assertion follows from Proposition 3.4. For the second assertion, note that $(0, \dots, 0, |\alpha|) \in J_{n-1}$ by Remark 2.13 (2). Hence if $(0, \dots, 0, |\alpha| + 1) \notin J_{n-1}$, then $x_{n-1}^{|\alpha|+1} \in \text{gin}(I)$ by the definition of J_{n-1} . So we have $f_n(0, \dots, 0, |\alpha| + 1) = 0$, and the second assertion follows from Lemma 2.11 (1). Thus we can assume that $(0, \dots, 0, |\alpha| + 1) \in J_{n-1}$. For simplicity, let $\beta = f_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$. Then $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} x_n^\beta \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I))$. This shows that $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}}$ is a nonzero monomial in $((\text{gin}(I) : x_n^\beta) / \text{gin}(I))_{|\alpha|}$. From the strong Lefschetz property of A , we have $R_{|\alpha|+\beta} = (\text{gin}(I) + x_n^\beta)_{|\alpha|+\beta}$, and hence $x_{n-1}^{|\alpha|+1} x_n^{\beta-1} \in \text{gin}(I)$. By the definition of f_n , this implies that $f_n(0, \dots, 0, |\alpha| + 1) \leq \beta - 1$.

For the converse, it is enough to show that if $((\text{gin}(I) : x_n^i) / \text{gin}(I))_d \neq 0$, then $R_{d+i} = (\text{gin}(I) + x_n^i)_{d+i}$ for all d and i . We will show this by induction on i . By Proposition 3.4, A has the weak Lefschetz property, i.e. $(R / (\text{gin}(I) + x_n^i))_{d+i} = 0$ for all d and $i = 1$. Suppose that $i > 1$ and that $T = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} x_n^{\alpha_n}$ is a nonzero monomial in $((\text{gin}(I) : x_n^i) / \text{gin}(I))_d$. If T is a nonzero monomial in $((\text{gin}(I) : x_n^{i-1}) / \text{gin}(I))_d$, then $R_{d+i-1} = (\text{gin}(I) + x_n^{i-1})_{d+i-1}$, by induction hypothesis. So $x_{n-1}^{d+1} x_n^{i-2} \in \text{gin}(I)$, and hence $x_{n-1}^{d+1} x_n^{i-1} \in \text{gin}(I)$. Since $\text{gin}(I)$ is strongly stable, this shows that $R_{d+i} = (\text{gin}(I) + x_n^i)_{d+i}$. Thus we may assume that T is a nonzero monomial in $((\text{gin}(I) : x_n^i) / (\text{gin}(I) : x_n^{i-1}))_d$. Then $T x_n^i = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} x_n^{\alpha_n+i} \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I))$ by Lemma 3.1. This implies that $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in J_{n-1}$ by Remark 2.13(1) and

$$\alpha_n + i = f_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \geq f_n(0, \dots, 0, d - \alpha_n + 1) + 1,$$

since $d = \deg(T) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j$ and f_n satisfies the condition (2). By the definition of f_n , we have $x_{n-1}^{d-\alpha_n+1} x_n^{\alpha_n+i-1} \in \text{gin}(I)$. Since $\text{gin}(I)$ is strongly stable, this shows that $x_{n-1}^{d+1} x_n^{i-1} \in \text{gin}(I)$, and hence the assertion follows. $\square$

Example 3.8. Consider the following stable monomial ideal of $R = k[x, y, z, w]$

$$I = (x^2, xy, y^3, y^2z, xz^2, yz^2, z^3, xzw^2, y^2w^3, yzw^3, z^2w^3, xw^5, yw^5, zw^5, w^7).$$

Every generator T which is divisible by w has degree ≥ 3 , and $r_1(R/I) = 2$. Furthermore, I satisfies the second condition in Theorem 3.7. Hence R/I has the strong Lefschetz property.

Finally, we will give an equivalent condition for $A = R/I$ to have the strong Stanley property in terms of the minimal system of generators of $\text{gin}(I)$. To do so, note that $A = R/I$ has the strong Stanley property if and only if $R/\text{gin}(I)$ has the strong Stanley property with a strong Stanley element x_n , as shown in the cases

of weak Lefschetz property and strong Lefschetz property (cf. Proposition 2.7). Consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow ((\text{gin}(I) : x_n^{t-2i})/\text{gin}(I))_i &\rightarrow (R/\text{gin}(I))_i \xrightarrow{\times x_n^{t-2i}} (R/\text{gin}(I))_{t-i} \\ &\rightarrow (R/(\text{gin}(I) + x_n^{t-2i}))_{t-i} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can see that $A = R/I$ has the strong Stanley property if and only if $(\text{gin}(I) : x_n^{t-2i})_i = \text{gin}(I)_i$ and $R_{t-i} = (\text{gin}(I) + x_n^{t-2i})_{t-i}$, or equivalently $x_{n-1}^{i+1}x_n^{t-2i-1} \in \text{gin}(I)_{t-i}$ for any $i = 0, 1, \dots, [t/2]$, since $\text{gin}(I)$ is strongly stable.

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose that $A = R/I = \bigoplus_{i=0}^t A_i$ has the strong Stanley property. If $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in J_{n-1}$, then $|\alpha| := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i \leq [t/2]$.*

Proof. Since the Hilbert function of A is symmetric, $H(A, [t/2]) \geq H(A, [t/2] + 1)$. By Corollary 3.3, this implies that $r_1(A) \leq [t/2]$. Since $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in J_{n-1}$, we have

$$|\alpha| \leq f_{n-1}(0, \dots, 0) - 1 = r_1(A) \leq [t/2],$$

by Remark 2.13 (2). $\square$

Theorem 3.10. *A standard Artinian k -algebra $A = R/I = \bigoplus_{i=0}^t A_i$ has the strong Stanley property if and only if for any $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in J_{n-1}$,*

$$(3.2) \quad f_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) = t - 2|\alpha| + 1,$$

where $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i$.

Proof. Suppose that A has the strong Stanley property. Let $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in J_{n-1}$. Then $|\alpha| \leq [t/2]$ by Lemma 3.9. Hence we have $(\text{gin}(I) : x_n^{t-2|\alpha|})_{|\alpha|} = \text{gin}(I)_{|\alpha|}$. If $f_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \leq t - 2|\alpha|$, then $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} x_n^{t-2|\alpha|} \in \text{gin}(I)$. So $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} \in (\text{gin}(I) : x_n^{t-2|\alpha|})_{|\alpha|} = \text{gin}(I)_{|\alpha|}$. But this contradicts that J_{n-1} contains $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$. Thus we have

$$f_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) > t - 2|\alpha|. \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, note that $x_{n-1}^{|\alpha|} x_n^{t-2|\alpha|+1} \in \text{gin}(I)$ because $R_{t-|\alpha|+1} = (\text{gin}(I) + x_n^{t-2|\alpha|+2})_{t-|\alpha|+1}$. This shows that $f_n(0, \dots, 0, |\alpha|) \leq t - 2|\alpha| + 1$, by the definition of f_n . Since $\text{gin}(I)$ is strongly stable, we have

$$f_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \leq f_n(0, \dots, 0, |\alpha|) \leq t - 2|\alpha| + 1. \quad (2)$$

The assertion follows from (1) and (2).

Conversely, suppose that the equation in (3.2) holds. We need to show that $(\text{gin}(I) : x_n^{t-2i})_i = \text{gin}(I)_i$ and $x_{n-1}^{i+1}x_n^{t-2i-1} \in \text{gin}(I)_{t-i}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq [t/2]$.

First we will show that $(\text{gin}(I) : x_n^{t-2i})_i = \text{gin}(I)_i$ for all $0 \leq i \leq [t/2]$. Suppose $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} x_n^{i-|\alpha|} \in (\text{gin}(I) : x_n^{t-2i})_i$, where $|\alpha| = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j \leq i$. Then $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} x_n^{t-|\alpha|-i} \in \text{gin}(I)$. Now if $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$ is an element of J_{n-1} , then $f_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) = t - 2|\alpha| + 1$ by the assumption. Hence we have

$$t - 2|\alpha| + 1 = f_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \leq t - |\alpha| - i,$$

by the definition of f_n . But this contradicts that $|\alpha| \leq i$. So $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \notin J_{n-1}$. By definition of J_{n-1} , this implies that either $\alpha_1 \geq f_1$ or there exists ν such that $1 < \nu \leq n-1$, $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\nu-1}) \in J_{\nu-1}$ and $f_\nu(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\nu-1}) \leq \alpha_\nu$. In any case

$x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} \in \text{gin}(I)$, so $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} x_n^{i-|\alpha|} \in \text{gin}(I)$, and hence $(\text{gin}(I) : x_n^{t-2i})_i = \text{gin}(I)_i$.

Finally, to show that $x_{n-1}^{i+1} x_n^{t-2i-1} \in \text{gin}(I)_{t-i}$, we may assume that $i+1 \leq r_1(A)$, otherwise $x_{n-1}^{i+1} \in \text{gin}(I)$ by the definition of $r_1(A)$, hence the assertion is achieved. Since $i+1 \leq r_1(A) = f_{n-1}(0, \dots, 0) - 1$, the element $(0, \dots, 0, i+1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n-1}$ belongs to J_{n-1} . By the assumption, we have $f_n(0, \dots, 0, i+1) = t - 2(i+1) + 1 = t - 2i - 1$, and hence $x_{n-1}^{i+1} x_n^{t-2i-1} \in \text{gin}(I)_{t-i}$. $\square$

Corollary 3.11. *If A has the strong Stanley property, then*

$$\{x_{n-1}^{r_1(A)+1}, x_{n-1}^{r_1(A)} x_n^{t-2r_1(A)+1}, \dots, x_{n-1}^i x_n^{t-2i+1}, \dots, x_{n-1} x_n^{t-1}, x_n^{t+1}\} \subset \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I)).$$

Proof. $x_{n-1}^{r_1(A)+1} \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I))$ is clear. By Proposition 2.12, $x_{n-1}^i x_n^{f_n(0, \dots, 0, i)} \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I))$ for all $0 \leq i \leq r_1(A)$. Since A has the strong Stanley property,

$$f_n(0, \dots, 0, i) = t - 2i + 1,$$

by Theorem 3.10, and hence the assertion follows. $\square$

Example 3.12. Let I and J be the monomial ideal of $R = k[x, y, z, w]$ defined by

$$I = (x^2, xy, y^3, y^2z, xz^2, yz^2, z^3, \underline{xzw^2, y^2w^3}, yzw^3, z^2w^3, xw^5, yw^5, zw^5, w^7),$$

$$J = (x^2, xy, y^3, y^2z, xz^2, yz^2, z^3, \underline{xzw^3, y^2w^3}, yzw^3, z^2w^3, xw^5, yw^5, zw^5, w^7).$$

As shown in Example 3.8, the Artinian ring R/I satisfies the strong Lefschetz property. But since $\max\{i \mid (R/I)_i \neq 0\} = 6$ and $f_4(1, 0, 1) = 2 < 6 - 2 \times 2 + 1 = 3$, R/I doesn't have the strong Stanley property. But we can see that R/J satisfies the condition in Theorem 3.10 by just reading the w -degrees of the minimal generators of J . Hence R/J has the strong Stanley property.

4. UNIQUELY DETERMINED MINIMAL GENERATORS OF GENERIC INITIAL IDEALS

We showed that if a standard Artinian k -algebra $A = R/I$ of codimension n has the weak Lefschetz property, then graded Betti numbers of $\text{gin}(I)$ are determined just by the Hilbert function of I in Corollary 3.5. But, if we restrict ourselves to the case of codimension 3, then we can say much more: Throughout this section, we assume that $R = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ is a polynomial ring over a field k and I is a homogeneous Artinian ideal of R . Let $A = R/I = \bigoplus_{i=0}^t A_i$, where $t = \max\{i \mid A_i \neq 0\}$.

In this section, we show that if R/I has the weak Lefschetz property, then the minimal generator T 's of $\text{gin}(I)$ with $\max(T) \leq 2$ are uniquely determined by the graded Betti numbers of R/I (Proposition 4.5). Together with Corollary 3.5, this implies that every graded Betti numbers of $\text{gin}(I)$ can be computed from the graded Betti numbers of I . And if R/I has the strong Lefschetz property, then we show that the minimal system of generators of $\text{gin}(I)$ is uniquely determined by the graded Betti numbers of R/I (Proposition 4.6). At last we show that if R/I has the strong Stanley property, then the minimal system of generators of $\text{gin}(I)$ is uniquely determined by the Hilbert function of R/I (Proposition 4.8). To do so, we use the following theorem proved by Green.

Theorem 4.1 (Cancellation Principle). [9] *For any homogeneous ideal J in the polynomial ring $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and any i and d , there is a complex of k -modules $V_\bullet^d$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} V_i^d &\cong \operatorname{Tor}_i^S(\operatorname{in}(J), k)_d, \\ H_i(V_\bullet^d) &\cong \operatorname{Tor}_i^S(J, k)_d. \end{aligned}$$

This theorem means we can obtain the minimal free resolution of J from the minimal free resolution of $\operatorname{gin}(J)$ by deleting some adjacent summands of the same degree. Using this theorem, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that $A = R/I$ has the weak Lefschetz property. If $a = \min\{d \mid \beta_{0,d}(I) \neq 0\}$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \beta_{0,i}(\operatorname{gin}(I)) &= \begin{cases} \beta_{1,a+1}(\operatorname{gin}(I)) - \beta_{2,a+2}(\operatorname{gin}(I)) + 1 & \text{if } i = a, \\ \beta_{1,i+1}(\operatorname{gin}(I)) - \beta_{2,i+2}(\operatorname{gin}(I)) & \text{if } i \neq a. \end{cases} \\ (2) \quad \text{For any } i \leq r_1(A) + 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\beta_{1,i+1}(\operatorname{gin}(I)) = \beta_{0,i+1}(\operatorname{gin}(I)) + \beta_{1,i+1}(I) - \beta_{0,i+1}(I).$$

Proof. First we will show that the equality in (2) holds. By Theorem 4.1, for each i , there exist $s_i, t_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{0,i+1}(\operatorname{gin}(I)) - t_i &= \beta_{0,i+1}(I), \\ \beta_{1,i+1}(\operatorname{gin}(I)) - t_i - s_i &= \beta_{1,i+1}(I), \\ \beta_{2,i+1}(\operatorname{gin}(I)) - s_i &= \beta_{2,i+1}(I). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.4, if $i \leq r_1(A) + 1$, then $\beta_{2,i+1}(\operatorname{gin}(I)) = 0$, and so $s_i = 0$. This implies that $\beta_{1,i+1}(\operatorname{gin}(I)) - t_i = \beta_{1,i+1}(I)$, and $\beta_{0,i+1}(\operatorname{gin}(I)) - t_i = \beta_{0,i+1}(I)$. Hence the equality follows.

By Eliahou-Kervaire Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{1,i+1}(\operatorname{gin}(I)) &= \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathcal{G}(\operatorname{gin}(I))_i \\ \max(T)=2}} \binom{2-1}{1} + \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathcal{G}(\operatorname{gin}(I))_i \\ \max(T)=3}} \binom{3-1}{1} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathcal{G}(\operatorname{gin}(I))_i \\ \max(T)=1}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathcal{G}(\operatorname{gin}(I))_i \\ \max(T)=2}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathcal{G}(\operatorname{gin}(I))_i \\ \max(T)=3}} 1 \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathcal{G}(\operatorname{gin}(I))_i \\ \max(T)=3}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathcal{G}(\operatorname{gin}(I))_i \\ \max(T)=1}} 1 \\ &= \begin{cases} \beta_{0,a}(\operatorname{gin}(I)) + \beta_{2,a+2}(\operatorname{gin}(I)) - 1 & \text{if } i = a, \\ \beta_{0,i}(\operatorname{gin}(I)) + \beta_{2,i+2}(\operatorname{gin}(I)) & \text{if } i \neq a, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and hence the equality in (1) follows. $\square$

Corollary 4.3. *If $A = R/I$ has the weak Lefschetz property, then the graded Betti numbers of $\operatorname{gin}(I)$ are completely determined by the graded Betti numbers of I . In particular, if J is a homogeneous ideal of R such that R/J has the weak Lefschetz property and $\beta_{i,j}(I) = \beta_{i,j}(J)$ for all i, j , then $\beta_{i,j}(\operatorname{gin}(I)) = \beta_{i,j}(\operatorname{gin}(J))$ for all i, j .*

Proof. The graded Betti numbers of I determine the Hilbert function of $A = R/I$. Since $A = R/I$ has the weak Lefschetz property, $r_1(A)$ is obtained by Corollary 3.3. And the graded Betti numbers $\beta_{2,2+s}(\text{gin}(I))$, for any s , and $\beta_{i,i+j}(\text{gin}(I))$, for $i = 0, 1$ and $j \geq r_1(A) + 2$, are determined by the Hilbert function of R/I , as shown in Corollary 3.5.

Hence it is enough to show that $\beta_{0,j}(\text{gin}(I))$ and $\beta_{1,j+1}(\text{gin}(I))$ are determined by the graded Betti numbers of I for $j \leq r_1(A) + 1$. Since $\beta_{0,r_1(A)+2}(\text{gin}(I))$ is already known, $\beta_{1,r_1(A)+2}(\text{gin}(I))$ is determined by Lemma 4.2 (2), and also $\beta_{0,r_1(A)+1}(\text{gin}(I))$ is determined by Lemma 4.2 (1). Inductively, if we know the value of $\beta_{0,i+1}(\text{gin}(I))$ for $i \leq r_1(A)$, then $\beta_{1,i+1}(\text{gin}(I))$ and $\beta_{0,i}(\text{gin}(I))$ are determined by Lemma 4.2 (2) and (1), respectively. Hence the assertion follows. $\square$

Now we will show that if $A = R/I$ has the weak Lefschetz property, then the minimal generator T of $\text{gin}(I)$ with $\max(T) \leq 2$ is determined by the graded Betti numbers of I . We need the following easy lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $a_1, \dots, a_n$ be a non-decreasing sequence of integers. Let $\beta_d := \sharp\{i \mid a_i = d\}$ for each $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. If β_d is given for all d , then the integers $a_1, \dots, a_n$ are determined by β_d . $\square$*

Proposition 4.5. *Suppose that I, J are homogeneous Artinian ideals of R having the same graded Betti numbers. If R/I and R/J have the weak Lefschetz property, then $\{T \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I)) \mid \max(T) \leq 2\} = \{T \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(J)) \mid \max(T) \leq 2\}$. In particular, $\text{gin}(I)_d = \text{gin}(J)_d$ for any $d \leq r_1(A)$.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.3, we have to show that the minimal generator T 's of $\text{gin}(I)$ with $\max(T) \leq 2$ are determined by the graded Betti numbers of $\text{gin}(I)$. At first note that the minimal generator T 's of $\text{gin}(I)$ such that $\max(T) \leq 2$ are of the forms;

$$x_1^a, x_1^{a-1}x_2^{f_2(a-1)}, x_1^{a-2}x_2^{f_2(a-2)}, \dots, x_1x_2^{f_2(1)}, x_2^{f_2(0)},$$

where $a := \min\{i \mid \beta_{0,i}(I) \neq 0\}$. So it is enough to show that each $f_2(i)$ is determined by the graded Betti numbers of $\text{gin}(I)$. Since $\text{gin}(I)$ is strongly stable,

$$\begin{aligned} a \leq a-1 + f_2(a-1) &\leq a-2 + f_2(a-2) \leq \dots \\ &\leq 1 + f_2(1) \leq f_2(0) = r_1(A) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

And note that for $i \leq r_1(A)$, $\beta_{0,i}(\text{gin}(I))$ is the number of minimal generator T 's of $\text{gin}(I)$ such that $\max(T) \leq 2$ and $\deg(T) = i$, by Proposition 3.4. This implies that $\beta_{0,i}(\text{gin}(I)) = \sharp\{j \mid j + f_2(j) = i\}$. Using Lemma 4.4, we can see that f_2 is determined by $\beta_{0,i}(\text{gin}(I))$.

And the last assertion follows by Proposition 3.4. $\square$

If R/I has the strong Lefschetz property, then we will show that the minimal system of generators of $\text{gin}(I)$ is determined by the graded Betti numbers of I . Remind that determining the minimal system of generators of $\text{gin}(I)$ is equivalent to computing f_1 , f_2 , and f_3 defined as in (2.4). From Proposition 4.5, we already know that f_1 , f_2 are determined by the graded Betti numbers of I . Hence it is enough to show that f_3 is also determined by those of I in the case that $A = R/I$ has the strong Lefschetz property. Now

$$(4.1) \quad J_2 = \left\{ (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \left| \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq \alpha_1 < f_1 = a, \\ 0 \leq \alpha_2 < f_2(\alpha_1) \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

where $f_1 = a$ and f_2 is as shown in the proof of Proposition 4.5. Partition J_2 into the sets $J[t]$ defined by

$$J[t] := \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in J_2 \mid \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = t\},$$

for $0 \leq t \leq r_1(A)$. Note that $J_2 = \cup_{t=0}^{r_1(A)} J[t]$, and the minimal generator of $\text{gin}(I)$ corresponding to the element $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in J[t]$ is $x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{f_3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)}$, whose degree is $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + f_3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = t + f_3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. We will say that $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + f_3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ is the degree of (α_1, α_2) .

Proposition 4.6. *If R/I has the strong Lefschetz property, then the minimal system of generators of $\text{gin}(I)$ is determined by the graded Betti numbers of I . In particular, if J is a homogeneous ideal of R such that $\beta_{i,j}(J) = \beta_{i,j}(I)$ for all i, j , and if R/J has the strong Lefschetz property, then $\text{gin}(I) = \text{gin}(J)$.*

Proof. Let $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in J[t]$. If $\alpha_1 \leq \beta_1$, then

$$f_3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \geq f_3(\beta_1, \beta_2),$$

by Remark 2.13 (3), and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + f_3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) &= t + f_3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ &\geq t + f_3(\beta_1, \beta_2) \\ &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 + f_3(\beta_1, \beta_2). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that, for each t , we have a nondecreasing sequence $\{a_{t,i}\}$ of degrees of elements in $J[t]$: Indeed, suppose that $J[t] = \{(\alpha_{1,1}, \alpha_{1,2}), \dots, (\alpha_{j_t,1}, \alpha_{j_t,2})\}$ and $\alpha_{j,1} \geq \alpha_{j+1,1}$. If we set $a_{t,i} = t + f_3(\alpha_{i,1}, \alpha_{i,2})$, then we have

$$a_{t,1} \leq a_{t,2} \leq \dots \leq a_{t,|J[t]|},$$

where $|J[t]|$ is the number of elements in $J[t]$. Note that $a_{t,|J[t]|} = t + f_3(0, t)$, as shown in the above. And since $A = R/I$ has the strong Lefschetz property, $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in J[t]$ implies that

$$f_3(0, t+1) + 1 \leq f_3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2),$$

and hence

$$t+1 + f_3(0, t+1) \leq t + f_3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2),$$

by Theorem 3.7. This shows that $a_{t+1,|J[t+1]|} \leq a_{t,1}$ for any $0 \leq t \leq r_1(A) - 1$. Thus we have a non-decreasing sequence of degrees of the elements in the whole set J_2 :

$$a_{r_1(A),1} \leq a_{r_1(A),2} \leq \dots \leq a_{r_1(A),|J[r_1(A)]|} \leq a_{r_1(A)-1,1} \leq \dots \leq a_{1,|J[1]|} \leq a_{0,1}.$$

Since $\beta_{2,2+d}(\text{gin}(I))$ is the number of the minimal generator T 's of $\text{gin}(I)$ such that $\deg(T) = d$ and $\max(T) = 3$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{2,2+d}(\text{gin}(I)) &= \#\{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in J_2 \mid \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + f_3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = d\} \\ &= \#\{(i, j) \mid a_{i,j} = d\}, \end{aligned}$$

$a_{i,j}$ is uniquely determined by $\beta_{2,2+d}(\text{gin}(I))$, by Lemma 4.4, so we are done. $\square$

Until now, we show that if $A = R/I$ is a standard Artinian graded k -algebra of codimension 3 which has the strong Lefschetz property, then the minimal system of generators of $\text{gin}(I)$ is determined by the graded Betti numbers of I . But if A has the strong Stanley property, then we will show that the minimal system of generators of $\text{gin}(I)$ is determined by the Hilbert function of A .

For any $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$, we say that $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \leq (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ if $x_1^{\beta_1} x_2^{\beta_2} \leq x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2}$ with respect to the reverse lexicographic order. We will use this order in the following lemma and proposition. And we say that a monomial $T_1 = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2}$ is obtained from a monomial $T_2 = x_1^{\beta_1} x_2^{\beta_2}$ by elementary Borel move if $T_1 = x_1 \frac{T_2}{x_2}$. In that case, we also say that (α_1, α_2) is obtained from (β_1, β_2) by elementary Borel move.

Set $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$. Note that if $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ and $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \leq (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, then $x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2}$ is obtained from $x_1^{\beta_1} x_2^{\beta_2}$ by consecutive elementary Borel move.

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose that $x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^\gamma, x_2^{|\alpha|} x_3^\gamma$ are minimal generators of $\text{gin}(I)$ for some $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^2$. Then $x_1^{\beta_1} x_2^{\beta_2} x_3^\gamma$ is also a minimal generators of $\text{gin}(I)$, for any $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \leq (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ with $|\beta| = |\alpha|$.*

Proof. Set $T_\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^\gamma$, and $T_\beta := x_1^{\beta_1} x_2^{\beta_2} x_3^\gamma$. By induction, it is enough to show that if (α_1, α_2) is obtained from (β_1, β_2) by elementary Borel move, then $T_\beta \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I))$. Note that, in that case, $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (\beta_1 + 1, \beta_2 - 1)$. Since $x_2^{|\beta|} x_3^\gamma \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I))$ and $\text{gin}(I)$ is strongly stable, $T_\beta \in \text{gin}(I)$. So it is enough to show that T_β is a minimal generator. If not, there exists $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3$ such that $\delta \leq \gamma$, $\delta_l \leq \beta_l$ for any $l = 1, 2$, and $T_\delta := x_1^{\delta_1} x_2^{\delta_2} x_3^\delta \in \text{gin}(I)$. If $\delta_2 < \beta_2$, then $\alpha_2 = \beta_2 - 1 \geq \delta_2$, hence T_δ divides T_α , this contradicts that T_α is a minimal generator of $\text{gin}(I)$. Thus we have $\delta_2 = \beta_2$. But, in this case, $T'_\delta = x_1 \frac{T_\delta}{x_2} \in \text{gin}(I)$ divides T_α , a contradiction. This shows that $T_\beta \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I))$. $\square$

Proposition 4.8. *If $A = R/I$ has the strong Stanley property, then the minimal system generators of $\text{gin}(I)$ is determined by the Hilbert function of I . In particular, if J is a homogeneous ideal of R with $H(R/I, d) = H(R/J, d)$ for all d , and if R/J has the strong Stanley property, then $\text{gin}(I) = \text{gin}(J)$.*

Proof. Let $t = \max\{i \mid A_i \neq 0\}$. Since A has the strong Stanley property, A has also the weak Lefschetz property. From Corollary 3.3 and Corollary 3.5, $r_1(A)$ and $\beta_{2,2+d}(\text{gin}(I))$ are given by the Hilbert function of R/I .

For $0 \leq d \leq r_1(A)$, let $B_d := \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \mid \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = d\}$, and let $J[d]$ be the set consisting of the smallest $\beta_{2,2+(t-d+1)}(\text{gin}(I))$ elements in the set B_d with respect to the order we defined. We will show that J_2 is the disjoint union of $J[d]$, $0 \leq d \leq r_1(A)$. Since disjointness is clear by the definition of $J[d]$, it is enough to show that J_2 is the union of the sets $J[d]$.

Let $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in J_2$. Then $x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{f_3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)} \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I))$, by Remark 2.13 (1). Since A has the strong Stanley property,

$$f_3(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = t - 2|\alpha| + 1,$$

where $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$, by Theorem 3.10. Note that the degree of $x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{t-2|\alpha|+1}$ is $t - |\alpha| + 1$ and $|\alpha| \leq r_1(A)$. Otherwise, $x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{t-2|\alpha|+1}$ cannot be a minimal generator of $\text{gin}(I)$, since $x_2^{r_1(A)+1} \in \text{gin}(I)$ and $\text{gin}(I)$ is strongly stable. Hence $x_2^{|\alpha|} x_3^{t-2|\alpha|+1} \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I))$, by Corollary 3.11. Suppose that (α_1, α_2) is the l -th smallest element of $B_{|\alpha|}$. By Lemma 4.7, there are at least l minimal generator T 's of $\text{gin}(I)$ such that $\max(T) = 3$ and $\deg(T) = t - |\alpha| + 1$. So $l \leq \beta_{2,2+(t-|\alpha|+1)}(\text{gin}(I))$, and hence $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in J[|\alpha|]$. This shows that $\sum_{d=0}^{r_1(A)} \#J[d] \geq \#J_2$. And

$$\#J_2 = \#\{T \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I)) \mid \max(T) = 3\}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{t+1} \beta_{2,2+i}(\text{gin}(I)) \geq \sum_{d=0}^{r_1(A)} \#J[d] \geq \#J_2,$$

by Remark 2.13 (1). Hence J_2 is the disjoint union of the sets $J[d]$, $0 \leq d \leq r_1(A)$. The assertion follows by Remark 2.14. $\square$

Corollary 4.9. *Suppose that $A = R/I$ has the strong Stanley property. If J is a homogeneous ideal of R having the same Hilbert function with I , and if R/J has the weak Lefschetz property, then R/J has the strong Stanley property if and only if*

$$\{x_2^{r_1(A)+1}, x_2^{r_1(A)} x_3^{t-2r_1(A)+1}, \dots, x_2^i x_3^{t-2i+1}, \dots, x_2 x_3^{t-1}, x_3^{t+1}\} \subset \text{gin}(J),$$

where $t = \max\{i \mid A_i \neq 0\}$.

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) It follows from Proposition 4.8 and Corollary 3.11.

($\Leftarrow$) Note that every element of the left-hand side is a minimal generator of $\text{gin}(I)$, as shown in the proof of Proposition 4.8. Because the other minimal generator T 's of $\text{gin}(I)$ with $\max(T) = 3$ are obtained from $x_2^i x_3^{t-2i+1}$ by consecutive elementary Borel move for some $0 \leq i \leq r_1(A)$, we have $\{T \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I)) \mid \max(T) = 3\} \subset \text{gin}(J)$. Since $H(R/I, d) = H(R/J, d)$, $\dim_k \text{gin}(I)_d = \dim_k \text{gin}(J)_d$ for all d . This shows that $\{T \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(I)) \mid \max(T) = 3\} = \{T \in \mathcal{G}(\text{gin}(J)) \mid \max(T) = 3\}$, by Proposition 3.4. Hence the assertion follows from Remark 2.14. $\square$

Proposition 4.6 and Proposition 4.8 do not work if $A = R/I$ is a standard graded Artinian k -algebra of codimension ≥ 4 , as shown in the following example.

Example 4.10. Consider two strongly stable ideals of $R = k[x, y, z, w]$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} I &= (x^2, xy^2, y^4, \underline{y^3z}, \underline{xyz^3}, \underline{y^2z^3}, \underline{xz^5}, yz^5, z^7, \\ &\quad z^6w, \underline{xz^4w^3}, \underline{yz^4w^3}, \underline{z^5w^3}, \underline{xyz^2w^5}, \underline{y^2z^2w^5}, \underline{xz^3w^5}, \underline{yz^3w^5}, \underline{z^4w^5}, \\ &\quad y^3w^7, xyzw^7, y^2zw^7, xz^2w^7, yz^2w^7, z^3w^7, xyw^9, y^2w^9, xzw^9, yzw^9, z^2w^9, \\ &\quad xw^{11}, yw^{11}, zw^{11}, w^{13}), \\ I' &= (x^2, xy^2, y^4, \underline{xyz^2}, \underline{y^3z^2}, \underline{xz^4}, \underline{y^2z^4}, yz^5, z^7, \\ &\quad z^6w, \underline{y^2z^3w^3}, \underline{yz^4w^3}, \underline{z^5w^3}, \underline{y^3zw^5}, \underline{y^2z^2w^5}, \underline{xz^3w^5}, \underline{yz^3w^5}, \underline{z^4w^5}, \\ &\quad y^3w^7, xyzw^7, y^2zw^7, xz^2w^7, yz^2w^7, z^3w^7, xyw^9, y^2w^9, xzw^9, yzw^9, z^2w^9, \\ &\quad xw^{11}, yw^{11}, zw^{11}, w^{13}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that I and I' have the same graded Betti numbers. And for any $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in J_3$, $f_4(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = t - 2|\alpha| + 1$, where $t = \max\{i \mid (R/I)_i \neq 0\} = \max\{i \mid (R/I')_i \neq 0\} = 12$. Hence they have the strong Stanley property.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Ahn and Y. Shin, *Generic initial ideals and graded Artinian level algebras not having the weak Lefschetz property*, preprint.
- [2] D. Bayer and M. Stillman, *A criterion for detecting m -regularity*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), 1-11.
- [3] H. M. Cho, Y. H. Cho and J. P. Park, *Generic Initial Ideals of Arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay Projective Subschemes*, to appear in Comm. Algebra.

- [4] A. Conca, *Reduction Numbers and Initial Ideals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 4, 1015–1020.
- [5] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **150** (1995), Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [6] S. Eliahou and M. Kervaire, *Minimal Resolutions of Some Monomial Ideals*, J. Alg. **129** (1990), 1-25.
- [7] R. Fröberg, *An inequality for Hilbert series of graded algebras*, Math. Scand., **56** (1985), 117-144.
- [8] A. Galligo, *A Propos du théorème de préparation de Weierstrass*, in Fonctions des Plusieurs Variables Complexes, Lect. Notes in Math. **409** Springer-Verlag, New York (1974), 543-579.
- [9] M. Green, *Generic Initial Ideals*, Six lectures on Commutative Algebra, Prog. in Math. **166** Birkhäuser, (1988), 119-186.
- [10] T. Harima, J. C. Migliore, U. Nagel, and J. Watanabe, *The Weak and Strong Lefschetz properties for Artinian K -algebras*, J. Algebra **262** (2003), 99-126.
- [11] L. T. Hoa and N. V. Trung, *Borel-fixed Ideals and Reduction number*, J. Algebra **270** (2003), 335-346.
- [12] J. Herzog, D. Popescu, *The Strong Lefschetz Property And Simple Extensions*, preprint.
- [13] J. C. Migliore, R.M. Miró-Roig, *Ideals of general forms and the ubiquity of the Weak Lefschetz property*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **182** (2003), 79-107.
- [14] R.P. Stanley, *Weyl Groups, The Hard Lefschetz Theorem, and The Sperner Property*, SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods **1** (1980), no. 2, 168–184.
- [15] J. Watanabe, *The Dilworth Number of Artinian Rings and Finite Posets with Rank Function*, Commutative algebra and combinatorics, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **11** (1987), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 303-312.
- [16] A. Wiebe, *The Lefschetz Property for Componentwise Linear Ideals and Gotzmann Ideals*, Comm. Algebra **32** (2004), 4601-4611.

† COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCES, KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, SEOUL 130-722, SOUTH KOREA

E-mail address: `ajman@kias.re.kr`

‡ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 151-747, SOUTH KOREA

E-mail address: `youngcho@math.snu.ac.kr`

†† NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DAEJEON 305-340, SOUTH KOREA

E-mail address: `jppark@nims.re.kr`